UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,133	06/01/2005	Malcolm Tom McKechnie	102792-450 (10477P1)	2800
27389 NODDIS MCI	7590 01/25/2008		EXAMINER	
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS 875 THIRD AVE			AHMED, HASAN SYED	
	18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			PAPER NUMBER
WEW TORK,	141 10022	1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		10/534,133	MCKECHNIE, MALCOLM TOM			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hasan S. Ahmed	1618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2007.					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cried (FTO-652) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's (a) RCE, (b) amendment, and (c) remarks, all filed on 15 November 2007.

* * * * *

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As amended, the instant claim set recites the limitation "...is immiscible with the first and second phases thereby constituting..." After carefully examining the instant disclosure, the examiner respectfully submits that support for this amendment is lacking and the addition of said limitation is new matter. The specification, including paragraph 0054 has been carefully reviewed and sufficient support for the limitation "is immiscible with the first and second phases" was not found.

Paragraph 0026 explains that, "[w]hen the third phase is an aqueous phase the first and second phases are both phases substantially immiscible in water, under ambient conditions." However this disclosure differs significantly in scope from the

Application/Control Number: 10/534,133 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

newly introduced claim amendment. As such, the amendment is deemed to be new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindauer (U.S. Patent No. 5,139,864) in view of Benko, et. al. (U.S. 2003/0091466).

Lindauer teaches a multilayer volatizable substance delivery article (see col. 2, line 62 – col. 3, line 18). The disclosed article is comprised of:

- a first phase consisting of a vaporizable agent (i.e. pockets of perfume material) as recited by instant claims 1 and 2 (see col. 9, lines 26-35, figure 11 (107));
- a second phase consisting of a second vaporizable material, as recited by instant claims 1 and 2 (see col. 9, lines 37-43; figure 11 (103));
- a third phase which constitutes a barrier between the first and second phases, as recited by instant claims 1 and 2 (see col. 9, lines 31-35; figure 11 (101);

Application/Control Number: 10/534,133

Art Unit: 1618

- the commencement of vaporization of the second phase being delayed by the third phase and the flowing of the second phase around the third phase, as recited by instant claims 1 and 2 (see col. 7, line 65 – col. 8, line 4; figure 2);
- the shrinking of the third phase, as recited by instant claim 1 (see col. 7, line
 65 col. 8, line 4; figure 2);
- the third phase being the gel, as recited by instant claim 10 (see col. 9, line
 37; figure 11 (101));
- the fragrance as recited by instant claim 11 (see col. 2, line 64);
- the insect repellant as recited by instant claim 12 (see col. 2, line 65);
- the third phase being the evaporable agent as recited by instant claim 13 (see col. 7, lines 64-68; figure 2); and
- the third phase being the gel whose volume reduces when exposed to air as recited by instant claim 14 (see col. 7, lines 64-68; figure 2);

Lindauer explains that a multilayer multifunctional volatizable substance delivery article is beneficial because it can deliver different substances (i.e. different aroma profiles) to the environment in a sequentially timed fashion (see col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 5).

The Lindauer reference differs from the instant application in that it does not teach the first or second phases to be liquid or gel phases.

Benko, et. al. teach an apparatus for releasing fragrance (see paragraph 0023). The apparatus may comprise multiple liquid or gel phases (see paragraph 0027, figure 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/534,133 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

The Lindauer reference differs from the instant application in that it does not teach the partition wall of instant claim 1 or the limbs of instant claim 2. However, these limitations are deemed to be a matter of engineering design choice, and thus do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed subject matter over the prior art. *In re Kuhle*, 526 F. 2d. 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975).

The Lindauer reference is silent with respect to the mixing or migrating of phases recited in instant claims 1 and 2, as well as the evaporation properties of instant claims 3, 4, and 15-21. Applicant's article is the same as the prior art. It contains the same components in the same configuration. Properties are the same when the structure and composition are the same. Thus, burden shifts to applicant to show unexpected results, by declaration or otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594. In the alternative, the claimed properties would have been present once the composition was employed in its intended use. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 433.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a vapor releasing article comprising a first phase, a second phase, and a third phase, which constitutes a barrier between the first and second phases, as taught by Lindauer, in view of Benko, et. al. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to make such an article because it can deliver different substances (i.e. different aroma profiles) to the environment in a sequentially timed fashion, as explained by Lindauer.

* * * * *

Art Unit: 1618

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 15 November 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the instant application is distinguished from the prior art based on the requirement that the third phase be immiscible with both the first and second phases. See Remarks page 6.

Examiner respectfully submits that the argument set forth by applicant is moot because the amended claim language is deemed to be new matter (see 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph rejection, above).

 \bigstar

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hasan S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571)272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,133 Page 7

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.
